UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,102	10/28/2003	Mark E. Tuttle	MI40-363	6610
WELLS ST. JO	7590 01/19/200 OHN P.S.	EXAMINER		
601 WEST 1ST	AVENUE	·	ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A	
SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, W	A 99201-3828		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2612	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	I	SY				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/696,102	TUTTLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian A. Zimmerman	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 No	ovember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		•				
<u> </u>	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-68</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vn from consideration.	·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-68</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
are subject to restriction and/or	tip 's	$\psi_{ij} = \psi_{ij} + \psi_{ij}$				
Application Papers	<i>1</i> 5 · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmonto						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						
S. Palent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/696,102

Art Unit: 2612

Status of Application

In response to the applicant's amendment received on 11/14/06. The examiner has considered the new presentation of claims and applicant arguments in view of the disclosure and the present state of the prior art. And it is the examiner's position that claims 15-68 are unpatentable for the reasons set forth in this office action:

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and § 103

1. Claims 15-28,35-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schuermann (5491484) in view of Kulka (5483827).

Schuermann shows a transponder, figure 3 for identification of an object (col. 6 ines 21+). The transponder 12 includes an antenna 133. Note that figure 3's illustration appears to describe element 10, but the description starting on col. 4 line 58 clearly discusses that the transponder 12 is depicted in figure 3. The device includes a power source col. 2 lines 19+. The antenna is coupled to a transmitter/receiver circuit (dashed line box of figure 3). The transponder circuit is selectively tuned within a range of tuned and detuned states to realize a desired sensitivity response to an interrogation signal transmitted by the interrogation unit. The tuning is accomplished by configuring the conduction of the circuit, this is accomplished by switching elements (each pair of elements 240

Art Unit: 2612

and 242 forms a fixed circuit network) using the latch 244. See col. 3 lines 60 to col. 4 line 10.

Schuermann differs from the claimed invention in that the claims call for the transponder to be a monolithic semiconductor integrated circuit device. In an analogous art, Kulka provides evidence that single IC RFID devices were known and available as early as June 1994 (the filing date of Kulka). Kulka shows a battery 14 used to power the transponder IC, see col. 5 lines 1-2. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have made the transponder circuits of Schuermann on a single IC as suggested by Kulka, since such would reduce the size and manufacturing considerations of the transponder of Schuermann.

2. Claims 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schuermann (5491484).

Schuermann shows a transponder, figure 3. The transponder 12 includes an antenna 133. Note that figure 3's illustration appears to describe element 10, but the description starting on col. 4 line 58 clearly discusses that the transponder 12 is depicted in figure 3. The antenna is coupled to a transponder circuit (dashed line box of figure 3). The transponder circuit is selectively tuned within a range of tuned and detuned states to realize a desired sensitivity response to an interrogation signal transmitted by the interrogation unit. The tuning is accomplished by configuring the conduction of the circuit, this is

Art Unit: 2612

accomplished by switching elements (each pair of elements 240 and 242 forms a fixed circuit network) using the latch 244. See col. 3 lines 60 to col. 4 line 10.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A. Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am to 4 pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy Garber can be reached on 571-272-7308. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brian A Zimmerman Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2612